











Basel Level 1	Internal Event Types			Bu	siness L	ines		
Internal Fraud		BL1	BL2	BL3	BL4	BL5	BL6	Group
External Fraud	Fraud							
Damage to physical assets								
Business disruption	Infrastructure							
Clients, Products,	Clients, Products,						<u> </u>	
Business Practices	Business Practices							
Execution, delivery,	Execution, delivery,							
process management	process management							
Employment practices,	Employment practices,							
workplace safety	workplace safety							

















































Dependence in a	bottom-up LDA		
n Within cells – Dependence – Dependence – Dependence	between the occurrence of los between the frequency distribu between the severity samples	s events ution and the	e severity distribution
n Between cells – Dependence – Dependence	between the frequency distribution between the severity distribution	utions ons	
Statistical analys	ses performed at Deuts	sche Ban	k
n Based on interna	l loss data		
n Identification of c – occurrence c – frequency dis	ependence between f loss events within a cell tributions in different cells	=> =>	Frequency distribution not Poisson Copula applied to frequencies
page 32			Deutsche Bank











Risk and Capital Management
Variance analysis Group level
Frequency correlations
n Variance of loss distribution at Group level
$\sum_{j=1}^{m} E(F_j) \cdot Var(S_j) + Var(F_j) \cdot E(S_j)^2 + \sum_{j,k=1,j \neq k}^{m} Cov(F_j,F_k) \cdot E(S_j) \cdot E(S_k)$
n Variance in the homogeneous model (c: homogeneous correlation coefficient)
$m \cdot (E(F) \cdot Var(S) + Var(F) \cdot E(S)^2 \cdot (c \cdot (m-1) + 1))$
Impact of frequency correlations depends on
n number of (relevant) cells <i>m</i> and
n relationship of Var(F)/E(F) (frequency vol) and Var(S)/E(S) <sup>2</sup> (severity vol)
In general, the impact of frequency correlations is rather limited and less significant than the impact of correlations of severities or loss distributions Page 38 Deutsche Bank



Risk and Capital Management	
Sensitivity analysis of basic LDA components	
Based on theoretical results and experience with Deutsche Bank's LDA model	
<ul> <li>Frequency distributions</li> <li>Mean of frequency distribution is important</li> <li>Shape has limited impact on capital in cells with fat-tailed severities</li> <li>Shape has limited impact on Group capital</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>N Severity distributions         <ul> <li>Weights and techniques for combining different data sources are important</li> <li>Significant impact of distribution assumptions for severity tails and tail probabilities</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Dependence         <ul> <li>Impact depends on the level where dependence is modelled, e.g. frequencies, severities or aggregate losses</li> <li>Limited impact of frequency correlations</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
Deutsche Bank	



Risk and Capital Manage	ment
Stressing lose	s data
Add (remove) interna	al and/or external losses and analyze impact on capital
n Scenarios provid	ed by business and ORM to quantify
– potential fu	ture risks
impost of h	
<ul> <li>risk reducti</li> </ul>	on by OR management
n Scenarios specifi	ed by developers to analyze sensitivity of model
scenario	Description
	losses reveive full weight for 5 years, linear decreasing weight over 20 period for severity fitting. One 5 year period taken into
time decay for losses	account for frequencies
time decay for losses	account for frequencies new orx mapping as proposed in EC WG 11.Aug.
time decay for losses new ORX mapping AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution)	account for frequencies new orx mapping as proposed in EC WG 11.Aug. remove AM UK losses from severity and frequency fitting
time decay for losses new ORX mapping AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution)	account for frequencies new ork mapping as proposed in EC WG 11.Aug. remove AM UK losses from severily and frequency fitting remove AM UK losses from frequency fitting
time decay for losses new ORX mapping AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) super gau GM/CF	account for frequencies new orx mapping as proposed in EC WG 11.Aug. remove AM UK losses from severity and frequency fitting remove AM UK losses from frequency fitting additional losses (split 50 GM : 50 CF) in billion USD: internal 0.75, external 2.6, 2.2, 2.2, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1., 1, 0.5, 0.5 losses were NOT mapped to group (default methodology) but assigned to GM Clients and CF Clients with weight of 50%
time decay for losses new ORX mapping AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) super gau GM/CF integration of scenarios	account for frequencies           new orx mapping as proposed in EC WG 11.Aug.           remove AM UK losses from severity and frequency fitting           remove AM UK losses from frequency fitting           additional losses (split 50 GM : 50 CF) in billion USD: internal 0.75, external 2.6, 2.2, 2.2, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.4, 0.5, 0.5           losses were NOT mapped to group (default methodology) but assigned to GM Clients and CF Clients with weight of 50%           about 50 scenarios are integrated as OpVar data points (see ECWG presentation Nov 3rd)           about 4 scenarios per BL and ET, no scenarios for ET clients
time decay for losses new ORX mapping AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly MM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) super gau GM/CF integration of scenarios fill losses in a secure in CM	account for frequencies     new on: mapping as proposed in EC WG 11.Aug.     remove AM UK losses from severity and frequency fitting     remove AM UK losses from frequency fitting     additional losses (split 50 GM : 50 CF) in billion USD: internal 0.75, external 2.6, 2.2, 2.2, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1., 1, 0.5, 0.5     losses were NOT mapped to group (default methodology) but assigned to GM Clients and CF Clients with weight of 50%     about 50 scenarios are integrated as OpVar data points (see ECWG presentation Nov 3rd)     about 50 scenarios per BL and ET, no scenarios for FT clients     Due to additional scenarios in Execution, cell specific modelling for Execution on external data was possible
time decay for losses new ORX mapping AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly Small losses in execution) AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) super gau GM/CF integration of scenarios 5% low impact loss removal in GM.	account for frequencies new orx mapping as proposed in EC WG 11.Aug. remove AM UK losses from severity and frequency fitting additional losses (split 50 GM : 50 CF) in billion USD: internal 0.75, external 2.6, 2.2, 2.2, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.0, 0.5, losses were NOT mapped to group (default methodology) but assigned to GM Clients and CF Clients with weight of 50% about 50 scenarios are integrated as OpVar data points (see ECWG presentation Nov 3rd) about 4 scenarios per BL and ET, no scenarios for ET clients Due to additional GM losses, losses chosen equally spaced between 10K and 50% (large loss threshold), remove 5% of internal GM losses, losses chosen engulary spaced between 10K and 500K (large loss threshold).
time decay for losses new ORX mapping AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) super gau GM/CF integration of scenarios 5 % low impact loss removal in GM 5% low impact loss removal in all Divisions	account for frequencies new orx mapping as proposed in EC WG 11.Aug. remove AM UK losses from severity and frequency fitting remove AM UK losses from frequency fitting additional losses (split 50 GM : 50 CF) in billion USD: internal 0.75, external 2.6, 2.2, 2.2, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.0, 0.5 losses were NOT mapped to group (default methodology) but assigned to GM Clients and CF Clients with weight of 50% about 50 scenarios are integrated as OpVar data points (see ECWG presentation Nov 3rd) about 4 scenarios per BL and ET, no scenarios for FT clients Due to additional scenarios in Execution. cell specific modelling for Execution on external data was possible remove 5% of internal ON losses, losses chosen equally spaced between 10K and large loss thresholds intrastructure 4.500m
time decay for losses new ORX mapping AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small losses in execution) super gau GM/CF integration of scenarios 5 % low impact loss removal in all Divisions infrastructure ± 500mn GM Fraud ± 200mn	account for frequencies           new orx mapping as proposed in EC WG 11.Aug.           remove AM UK losses from severity and frequency fitting           additional losses (split 50 GM : 50 CF) in billion USD: internal 0.75, external 2.6, 2.2, 2.2, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.0, 0.5           losses were NOT mapped to group (default methodology) but assigned to GM Clients and CF Clients with weight of 50%           about 50 scenarios are integrated as OpVar data points (see ECWG presentation Nov 3rd) about 4 scenarios per BL and ET, no scenarios for ET clients           Due to additional GM clients, cell specific modelling for Execution on external data was possible remove 5% of internal GM losses, losses chosen equally spaced between 10K and large loss threshold) intrastructure + 500mn
time decay for losses new ORX mapping AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small osses in execution) AM UK sale (about 30% losses, mainly small osses in execution) super gau GM/CF integration of scenarios 5% low impact loss removal in GM 5% low impact loss removal in al Divisions infrastructure + 500mn GM Fraud + 200mn 50 additional small events in CF Fraud	account for frequencies           new on: mapping as proposed in EC WG 11.Aug.           remove AM UK losses from severity and frequency fitting           additional losses (split 50 GM : 50 CF) in billion USD: internal 0.75, external 2.6, 2.2, 2.2, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 0.5           losses were NOT mapped to group (default methodology) but assigned to GM Clients and CF Clients with weight of 50% losses were NOT mapped to group (default methodology) but assigned to GM Clients and CF Clients with weight of 50% about 50 scenarios per BL and ET, no scenarios for ET clients           Due to additional scenarios in Execution, cell specific modelling for Execution on external data was possible remove 5% of internal GM losses, losses chosen equally spaced between 10K and large loss threshold) infrastructure + 500nn           GM Fraud + 200mn         GM Fraud + 200mn           S0 events from 10sd - 50ts (dexponential step size) are added to CF execution internal Benchmark scenario all (Integration of scenarios)









