Linking stress testing to capital adequacy

Oliver Ewald

Dresdner Bank AG

November 21, 2006





A company of Allianz (

Content

- Motivation for capital stress testing
- Difficulties when comparing economic and regulatory capital adequacy
- Link between capital adequacy and stress testing
- Reporting and evaluation of results
- Integrating stress testing into capital management process



Motivation for Capital Stress Testing

Objective	 Under capital adequacy aspects, being able to continue business even after severe (but not catastrophic) losses Avoid "surprises" Identify major threats to regulatory and economic capital Trigger timely mitigation
Problem	 Cannot be ensured with "traditional" regulatory and economic capital concepts Regulatory Capital: Only sets <i>minimum</i> capital ratios to be fulfilled through the economic cycle; no explicit <i>target</i> ratios set by regulators Economic Capital: Defines risk-based capital requirement to protect debt capital on a very high confidence level
Solution	 Hold sufficient capital buffer to withstand severe losses without falling below external or internal capital <i>minimum</i> standards (as opposed to <i>target</i> standards) Using stress testing to derive required buffers independently for regulatory and economic capital framework



Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) - Relative Measure of Economic Capital Adequacy





Economic Capital Requirements - Overview

Higher solvency standard requires higher level of Economic Capital



Target vs. minimum solvency standard

- Economic Capital concepts are usually based on high target solvency standards (e.g. "AA" rating"; 99,97% confidence level)
- After stress losses minimum solvency standard has to be ensured (e.g. "BBB rating"; 99,80% confidence level)
- Accordingly, for stress test purposes
 Economic Capital requirement needs to
 be re-calculated (or scaled down) to
 the minimum solvency standard



Major External Capital Requirements - Overview



Capital Stress Testing should focus on a bank's major capital constraint

- ¹⁾ Available Tier I capital / Risk Weighted Assets (RWA)
- 2) Available Tier I+II+III capital / RWA



Internal and Regulatory Definition of (Available) Capital Usually Not Directly Comparable



Available Capital

Example for differences

- Subordinated debt: Part of regulatory Tier II capital and usually not part of internal risk-bearing funds
- Hybrid capital: Included in regulatory Tier I capital, but excluded from IFRS/US-GAAP book capital
- Different legal entity consolidation: Certain entities may be excluded from regulatory consolidation, but included in economic capital framework
- Treatment of **goodwill**: Deduction from regulatory capital may differ from economic capital treatment
- Treatment of deferred tax assets: May differ under different regulatory regimes
- Evaluation reserves: Haircuts applied for regulatory capital may differ from economic capital treatment
- Planned profit: No recognition in regulatory capital



The Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) Can Be Used to Compare Economic and Regulatory Capital Adequacy



If CAR falls below 100% → U

Undercapitalization



Two Methods to Derive Stress Losses

Based on Economic Capital Model

Economic Capital model already provides potential loss levels

Pros

- Simple calibration to chosen stress event probability via adjustment of confidence level (e.g. to 90% for "1 in 10 years" event)
- Diversification between risk types already included
- Easy to calculate since all data is already available

Cons

- Identification of specific risk drivers is difficult
- Covers only those risks which are captured by the Economic Capital concept

Based on separate stress scenarios

Stress scenarios to be newly defined and calibrated to chosen stress event probability

Pros

- Impact of specific risk drivers directly identifiable
- ... and therefore more transparent for senior management
- Can also consider stress scenarios not covered by the Economic Capital concept

Cons

Limited possibilities of combined scenario analysis

Recommendation: Define risk-type specific scenarios; use economic capital concept to support calibration of scenarios to stress event probability



Stress Scenarios Usually Impact Both Available and Required Capital

Examples for Economic Capital

Scenario Examples	Risk-Bearing Funds	Required Capital	CAR
Credit losses	Decline in planned profit and/or equity capital	If portion of defaulted portfolio is small	¥
Credit losses and rating downgrades	Decline in planned profit and/or equity capital	Impact of increase in PDs	↓
Equity (down) and/or Interest Rates (up) impact on Investments	Decline in valuation reserves (IFRS 39)	Exposure is measured as portfolio fair value	¥
New business below expectations	Decline in planned profit	If impact on projected risk profile is small	↓
Impact of own rating downgrade	Decline in planned profit via higher refinancing costs	No impact if target rating remains unchanged	↓
•••		•••	



Stress Scenarios Should Be Applied to 1-Year Forward Projection of CAR

Starting point of capital stress testing should reflect major anticipated business development

- Requires full integration of all capital components into planning process
 - Regulatory and economic capital
 - Available and required capital
- Should be reflected in latest forecast / budget / multi-year-plan





Capital Stress Testing Supplements Traditional External and Internal Target Capital Requirements - Simplified Overview





Example: Economic Capital View (Simplified)

Illustrative







Detailed Results - Example for Data Sheet

Illustrative

Dess Case / Seeneria		Economic Capital View					Regulatory Capital View						
	Base Case / Scenano		Required Capital	∆ Base Case	RBF	∆ Base Case	CAR	RWA	∆ Base Case	Tier I Capital	∆ Base Case	Tier I Ratio	CAR
e Cases Economic		Current / "AA"-Rating	7,5		8,0		107%						
		Projection / "AA"-Rating	8,0	0,5	9,0	1,0	113%						
		Projection / "BBB"-Rating	6,5	-1,5	9,0	0,0	138%						
Bas Regulatory		Current						100,0		7,6		7,6%	127%
		Projection						103,0	3,0	8,6	1,0	8,3%	139%
Stress Scenarios		Credit Losses	6,5	0,0	7,0	-2,0	108%	103,0	0,0	6,6	-2,0	6,4%	107%
		Credit Losses & Downgrade	7,2	0,7	7,0	-2,0	97%	103,0	0,0	6,6	-2,0	6,4%	107%
		Equities down	5,8	-0,7	7,5	-1,5	129%	101,5	-1,5	7,1	-1,5	7,0%	117%
		Interest rates up	6,4	-0, 1	8,5	-0,5	133%	102,5	-0,5	8,1	-0,5	7,9%	132%
		New business below expect.	6,5	0,0	8,4	-0,6	129%	103,0	0,0	8,0	-0,6	7,8%	129%
		Own rating downgrade	6,5	0,0	8,5	-0,5	131%	103,0	0,0	8,1	-0,5	7,9%	131%



Determination of Additional Capital Requirement

Illustrative

Base Case / Scenario		E	Economic Capi	tal View	Regulatory Capital View				
		CAR	Capital Gap to 100% CAR	Capital Gap to 130% CAR	CAR	Capital Gap to 100% CAR	Capital Gap to 130% CAR		
	Credit Losses	108%		1,5	107%		1,4		
Irios	Credit Losses & Downgrade	97%	0,2	2,4	107%		1,4		
cena	Equities down			0,0	117%		0,8		
ss S	Interest rates up	133%			132%				
Stre	New business below expect.	129%		0,1	129%		0,0		
	Own rating downgrade	131%			131%				
Maximum			0,2	2,4	_	0,0	1,4		
Addional capital requirement in order to achieve minimum CAR of 100% / 130% across all scenarios for Economic and Regulatory Capital View									



Summary of Results - Example for Economic Capital View



© Dresdner Bank, Linking Stress Testing to Capital Adequacy; November 21, 2006, page 16



Illustrative

Action Plan to Be Prepared if Stress Test Results have Status Red or Yellow

Status	Definition	Action required
\bigcirc	CAR ≥ 130%	No action required
\bigcirc	100% ≤ CAR < 130%	 Action Plan to be prepared Objective: Reduce reaction time in case of emerging solvency threats Enabling proactive rather than reactive capital management
	CAR < 100%	 Support communication with regulators and fating agencies Content: List of alternative management actions (e.g. hedging, capital raising, exposure reduction, ABS) Prioritisation via cost/benefit analysis





Thank you very much for your attention!

Contact:

Oliver Ewald Dresdner Bank AG - Group Risk Architecture Head of Capital & Portfolio Methodology Jürgen-Ponto-Platz 1 60301 Frankfurt am Main

Phone:	+49 (0)69 / 263-18483
Fax:	+49 (0)69 / 263-54284
Mobile:	+49 (0)160 / 5359437
eMail:	oliver.ewald@dresdner-bank.com

