Summary Table of Major Securities and Futures Markets Criminal Cases: Referrals, Investigations and Trial Results

- *1. This table is a compilation by the Securities and Futures Bureau (SFB) of the Financial Supervisory Commission regarding major illegal cases referred in securities and futures markets, based on publicly available prosecution and court documents within the past five years (January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2024).
- *2. Indictments can be searched in the Ministry of Justice's Prosecution Documents Query System using the Investigation/Prosecution Reference Number in the table. Judgments can be accessed directly by clicking the Judgment Document Link in the table, which connects to the Judicial Yuan's Judgment System.
- *3. The linked webpages or data provided in this table are supplied by the linked websites, and the relevant rights belong to those websites or their legal rights holders. The SFB does not guarantee their accuracy, timeliness, or completeness.

	Case Name	Grounds for	Case Type	Investigation/	Trial or
No		Referral and	Case Type	Prosecution Reference	
	(Including				Judgment Results
	Defendant	Violated Legal		Number	
	Information)	Provisions			(Judgment
					Document
	**	a 1 0	D 1 0	T : 01 !!! T ! !	Link)
1	Universal	Suspected of	Breach of	Taiwan Shilin District	Supreme Court
	ABIT Co.,	engaging in the	trust	Prosecutors Office	Criminal
	Ltd.	inflation of		Prosecution No. 2005-	Judgment No.
	Suspect: Lu	operating		Zhen Zi-11209, dated	2020-Tai Shang
	00	revenue and		May 30, 2007	Zi-5865, dated
		conversion of			December 29,
		overdue			2021
		accounts			Judgment
		receivable into			Document Link
		long-term			
		equity			
		investments,			
		which			
		constitutes			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1 of			
		the Securities			
		and Exchange			
		Act.			
2	Xepex	Suspected of	Breach of	Taiwan Banqiao District	Supreme Court
	Electronics	engaging in	Trust	Prosecutors Office	Criminal
	Co., Ltd.	fraudulent		Prosecution No. 2005-	Judgment No.
	Suspects:	transactions to		Zhen Zi-14167, 19428,	2022-Tai Shang
	Chen oo,	embezzle from		and 21299, and No.	Zi-3313, dated
	Chan oo	Xpex		2005-Zhen Qi Zi-2455	March 2, 2023
		Electronics Co.,		and No. 2456, dated	Judgment

		Ltd., which		December 14, 2005	Document Link
		constitutes		2000	
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 2 and			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph 3			
		of the Securities			
		and Exchange			
		Act.			
3	SHINYU	The balance of	Breach of	Taiwan Shilin District	Supreme Court
	TECHNOLO	fund lending	trust	Prosecutors Office	Criminal
	GY CO., LTD.	exceeded the		Prosecution No. 2005-	Judgment No.
	(formerly	limit stipulated		Zhen Zi-11209 and No.	2020-Tai Shang
	known as:	in its		2006-Zhen Zi-11112	Zi-5865, dated
	Universal	procedures for			December 29,
	ABIT Co.,	lending funds to			2021
	Ltd.)	others. The			Judgment
	Suspect: Lu	financial reports			Document Link
	00	for 2005 and			
		Q1 2006 still			
		showed			
		excessive			
		lending, and the			
		balance in Q1			
		2006 continued			
		to increase,			
		which			
		constitutes			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1 of			
		the Securities			
		and Exchange			
4	Euliaht	Act.	Dung 1 . £	Tairran Taar	Tairren III:-1
4	Enlight	Enlight Corporation's	Breach of	Taiwan Taoyuan District Prosecutors	Taiwan High Court Criminal
	Corporation Suspect: Line	Corporation's reinvestment in	trust	Office Prosecution No.	
	Suspect: Liao	EC/USA		2009-Zhen Zi-26593,	Judgment No. 2020-Jin Shang
		violated normal		dated October 28, 2010	Zhong Su Zi-6,
		business		uaica Ociooci 20, 2010	dated March
		practices, which			10, 2022
		practices, which			10, 2022

					т 1 .
		constitutes			Judgment
		criminal			Document Link
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph 3			
		and Paragraph 2			
		of the Securities			
		and Exchange			
		Act.			
5	HannsTouch	Suspected of	Non-arm's	Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan Taipei
	Holdings	falsely inflating	length	Prosecutors Office	District Court
	Company	sales	Transactions	Prosecution No. 2007-	Criminal
	Suspect:	transactions and		Zhen Zi-16031	Judgment No.
	Chang 00	other matters,		(Combined with Taiwan	2020-Jin Su Qi
		which		Banqiao District	Zi-3, dated
		constitutes		Prosecutors Office Case	August 17,
		criminal		No. 2008-Zhen Zi-	2020
		liability under		3593)	Judgment
		Article 174,			Document Link
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph 5			
		of the Securities			
		and Exchange			
		Act in violation			
		of Article 20,			
		Paragraph 2 of			
		the same Act,			
		and Article 71,			
		Subparagraph 1			
		of the Business			
		Entity			
		Accounting Act			
		before its			
		amendment and			
		promulgation			
		on May 24,			
		2006, as well as			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph 1			
		of the Securities			

6	3 suspects involved including Lin	and Exchange Act in violation of Article 157- 1, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 1 of the same Act. Suspected of manipulating the stock price of Singatron Enterprise Co., Ltd., which constitutes a violation of Article 155 of the Securities and Exchange	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2011- Zhen Zi-7486	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2019-Jin Shang Zhong Geng Yi Zi-14, dated August 25, 2020 Judgment Document Link
7	Jin Shang Chang Development Co., Ltd. (Renamed JSL Construction & Development Co., Ltd.) Suspect: Lin	Act There were irregularities in the disposal of land transactions, thereby subject to criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1 of the Securities and Exchange Act.	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2013- Zhen Zi-1251	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Tai Shang Zi-2576, dated July 21, 2022 Judgment Document Link
8	HTS Technology Co., Ltd Suspect: Wang	Suspected of embezzling company assets, constituting criminal liability under Article 342 of the Criminal Code	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taoyuan District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2009-Zhen Zi-17193	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2021-Jin Shang Zhong Su Zi -2, dated October 26, 2023 Judgment Document Link
9	China Metal Products Co., Ltd. Suspect: He	Suspected of using their official position to embezzle	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Tainan District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2009- Zhen Zi-10379, dated	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2023-Tai Shang

	00	funds of the company under their management, constituting criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 3 and Article 174 of the Securities and Exchange Act.		July 28, 2009	Zi-1086, dated February 22, 2024 Judgment Document Link
10	Far Eastern Air Transport Corporation Suspects: Cui o et al.	Suspected of embezzling company funds under the pretense of temporary insurance premiums, performance bonds, and charter flight fees, constituting criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 1 to 3 of the Securities and Exchange Act.	Special Breach of Trust, Non- arm's length Transactions and False Financial Reports	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No.2008- Zhen Zi-9331, 9649, and 17397	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2021-Tai Shang Zi-5443, dated July 28, 2022 Judgment Document Link
11	16 suspects involved including Lai oo et al.	Suspected of manipulating the stock price of King Chou Marine Technology Co., Ltd., violating Article 155, Paragraph	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Shilin District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2009- Zhen Zi-14740 and No. 2010-Zhen Zi-5066	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2021-Tai Shang Zi-1891, dated February 24, 2021 Judgment Document Link

		1			
		1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		4 and 5 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act.			
12	Taiwan Kolin	Suspected of	False	Taiwan Taipei District	Supreme Court
	Co., Ltd.	making false	Financial	Prosecutors Office	Criminal
	Suspect: Chu	financial	Reports	Prosecution No. 2010-	Judgment No.
	00	statements,		Zhen Zi-7209	2021-Tai Shang
		providing false			Zi-933, dated
		financial			November 18,
		information for			2021
		securities			Judgment
		issuance, and			Document Link
		engaging in			2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
		large-scale			
		payment			
		collection and			
		disbursement			
		activities,			
		violating Article			
		20 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act,			
		subject to			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph 1			
		of the same Act.			
		Also suspected			
		of violating			
		Articles 60 and			
		71 of the			
		Business Entity			
		Accounting Act			
		and Articles 2			
		and 9 of the			
		Money			
		Laundering			
12	LITC	Control Act.	D 1. C	Tairran Taraaa	Tairre III 1
13	HTS	Sales to specific	Breach of	Taiwan Taoyuan	Taiwan High
	Technology	parties with	Trust	District Prosecutors	Court Criminal

	Co., Ltd	overly lenient		Office Prosecution No.	Judgment No.
	Suspect: Wang	payment terms		2009-Zhen Zi-17193,	2021-Jin Shang
	oo	that violated		dated May 28, 2010	Zhong Su Zi -2,
		normal business		dated Way 20, 2010	dated October
		practices,			26, 2023
		resulting in			Judgment
		significant bad			Document Link
		debt losses,			Document Link
		· ·			
		suspected of tunneling			
		company assets,			
		subject to			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 342 of			
		the Criminal			
		Code and			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph 2			
		of the Securities			
		and Exchange			
		Act.			
14	22 suspects	Suspected of	Stock Price	Taiwan Taipei District	Supreme Court
	involved	manipulating	Manipulation	Prosecutors Office	Criminal
	including Wu	the stock price	F	Prosecution No. 2014-	Judgment No.
	00	of MAX Zipper		Zhen Zi-23451	2020-Tai Shang
		Co., Ltd.,			Zi-5500, dated
		violating Article			March 31, 2022
		155, Paragraph			Judgment
		1,			Document Link
		Subparagraphs			
		4 and 5 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act.			
15	Quanta	Irregularities in	Breach of	Taiwan Shilin District	Supreme Court
	Microsystems,	purchase and	Trust	Prosecutors Office	Criminal
	Inc.	sales		Prosecution No. 2015-	Judgment No.
	Suspect: Hong	transactions,		Zhen Zi-10824	2023-Tai Shang
	00	investment			Zi-4089, dated
		losses in			March 26, 2025
		subsidiaries,			Judgment
		disposal of			Document Link
		subsidiaries and			

16	17 suspects involved including Huang 00	inventory write- offs were found, which may constitute criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 2 and Paragraph 2 of the Securities and Exchange Act. Suspected of manipulating the stock price of Pro-Nets Technology Corporation, which may violate Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 4, 5, and 6 of the Securities and Exchange	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Kaohsiung District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2013-Zhen Zi-12888 and 12889	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2023-Tai Shang Zi-509, dated November 1, 2023 Judgment Document Link
17	Uni-President Yuanqi Asset Management Co., Ltd. (renamed to Sung Gang Corp. Limited in June 2009) Suspects: Chen oo, Chuang oo, Lin oo, Lien oo, Hung oo, Chuang oo, Tsao oo, Huang oo, Tu	Act. The irregular leasing relationship between the company and Wunkuei Digital, and the payment of high consulting fees to persons who did not actually provide services, may constitute criminal liability under	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2016- Zhen Xu Zi-690	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2023-Tai Shang Zi-1643, dated August 9, 2023 Judgment Document Link

	○○, Ho ○○	Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 3 of the Securities and Exchange Act.			
18	21 suspects involved including Chieh oo	Suspected of manipulating the stock price of Pro-Nets Technology Corporation, violating Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 4 and 5 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2011- Zhen Zi-17494, dated April 22, 2012	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2019-Tai Shang Zi-557, dated March 12, 2020 Judgment Document Link
19	22 suspects involved including Chieh oo	Suspected of manipulating the stock price of OPNET Technologies Co., Ltd., violating Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 4 and 5 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2012- Zhen Zi-22684 and 23927	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2019-Tai Shang Zi-557, dated March 12, 2020 Judgment Document Link
20	Behavior Tech Computer Corp. Suspects: Su oo and others	Suspicious irregularities in subsidizing idle capacity losses of OEM manufacturers, allegedly channeling funds to related parties through processing contracts	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Shilin District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2013- Zhen Zi-644 and 1811	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2019-Jin Shang Zhong Geng Yi Zi-17, dated March 10, 2020 Judgment Document Link

		arrangements, which may			
		constitute			
		criminal			
		liabilities under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		2 and 3 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act			
21	22 suspects	Suspected of	Stock Price	Taiwan Taipei District	Supreme Court
	involved	manipulating	Manipulation	Prosecutors Office	Criminal
	including	the stock price		Prosecution No. 2011-	Judgment No.
	Chieh oo	of Pro-Nets		Zhen Zi-17494, dated	2019-Tai Shang
		Technology		April 22, 2012	Zi-557, dated
		Corporation,		_	March 12, 2020
		violating Article			Judgment
		155, Paragraph			Document Link
		2 as applied			
		mutatis			
		mutandis to			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		4 and 5 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act			
22	43 suspects	Suspected of	Stock Price	Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan High
	involved	manipulating	Manipulation	Prosecutors Office	Court Criminal
	including	the TDR price	Wiampalation	Prosecution No. 2013-	Judgment No.
	Zhong 00	of Technics Oil		Zhen Zi-16564, dated	_
		& Gas Limited,			2021-Jin Shang
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		July 31, 2015	Zhong Su Zi-
		violating Article			23, dated May
		155, Paragraph			31, 2023
		2 as applied			Judgment
		mutatis			Document Link
		mutandis to			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		4 and 7 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act.			
23	4 suspects	Suspected of	Stock Price	Taiwan Taichung	Supreme Court
	involved	manipulating	Manipulation	District Prosecutors	Criminal

	including Ding O Co., Ltd.	the stock price of Tekcore Co., Ltd., violating Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act.		Office Prosecution No. 2013-Zhen Zi-20809	Judgment No. 2019-Tai Shang Zi-2570, dated January 15, 2020 Judgment Document Link
24	Taiwan Styrene Monomer Corporation Suspects: Zhang OO, Liu OO, Sun OO, Wang OO, Jiao OO, Su OO, Lin OO, and others.	Suspected of misappropriatin g company assets through irregular transactions and falsifying financial statements, and thereby subject to criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 1 and 2 of the Securities and Exchange Act.	Non-arm's length Transactions, Breach of Trust, False Financial Reports	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2013- Zhen Zi-4599	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2023-Tai Shang Zi-307, dated March 22, 2023 Judgment Document Link
25	4 suspects involved including Lin	Suspected of insider trading in shares of Green Energy Technology Inc., which violates Article 157-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Insider Trading	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2013- Zhen Zi-17022, dated August 30, 2013	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2021-Tai Shang Zi-2642, dated June 24, 2021 Judgment Document Link
26	18 suspects involved including Qi ○ Co., Ltd.	Suspected of manipulating the stock price of Chaintech Technology Corporation, which violates	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan New Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2016-Zhen Zi-5181 and 5182	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2020-Jin Shang Su Zi-61, dated August 26, 2021

		Article 155,			Judgment
		Paragraph 1,			Document Link
		Subparagraphs			Document Link
		4 and 5 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act			
27	7 suspects	Suspected of	Stock Price	Taiwan Taipei District	Supreme Court
	involved	stock price	Manipulation	Prosecutors Office	Criminal
	including	manipulation	and Insider	Prosecution No. 2014-	Judgment No.
	Dong ○ Co.,	and insider	Trading	Zhen Zi-2653, dated	2021-Tai Shang
	Ltd. and 3	trading of		December 19, 2016	Zi-942, dated
	suspects	Koryo Co.,			November 25,
	involved	Ltd., which			2021
	including	violates Article			Judgment
	Guang ○ Co.,	155, Paragraph			Document Link
	Ltd.	1, Subparagraph			
		4 and Article			
		157-1 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act			
28	United Fu		Breach of	Taiyyan Nayy Tainai	Cymanama Cayart
20	Shen Chen	Suspected of		Taiwan New Taipei District Prosecutors	Supreme Court Criminal
		embezzling	Trust		
	Technology	company assets		Office Prosecution No.	Judgment No.
	Corp.	through		2014-Zhen Zi-3929,	2022-Tai Shang
	Suspects: Shen	methods such		dated June 3, 2014	Zi-1777, dated
	00, Lai 00	as advance			June 23, 2022
		payments and			Judgment
		prepaid			Document Link
		commissions,			
		which			
		constitutes			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 342 of			
		the Criminal			
		Code and			
		Article 171 of			
		the Securities			
		and Exchange			
		Act, and also			
		violates Article			
		23, Paragraph 1			
		of the Company			
		Act.			

29	12 suspects involved including Zhu	Suspected of stock price manipulation and insider trading of Chernan Technology Co., Ltd. shares, violating Article 155, Paragraph 2 as applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4, and Article 157-1 of the	Stock Price Manipulation and Insider Trading	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2017- Zhen Zi-1300	Taiwan Taipei District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2021-Jin Su Zi- 44, dated May 31, 2024 Judgment Document Link
		Securities and Exchange Act			
30	8 suspects involved including Guo • Company	Suspected of manipulating Long Bon International Co., Ltd. shares, violating Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act.	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2015- Zhen Zi-8171	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2023-Tai Shang Zi-2982, dated December 7, 2023 Judgment Document Link
31	Acard Technology Corp. Suspect: Liu	Announced unattainable revenue, violating Article 20, Paragraph 2 of the Securities and Exchange Act, subject to criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1 of	Others	Taiwan New Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2019-Zhen Zi-16306, dated November 27, 2020	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Shang Su Zi-28, dated February 14, 2023 Judgment Document Link

		the same Act			
32	Pontex Polyblend Co., Ltd. Suspects: Ji oo, Lu oo, Xu oo, Yu oo, Zhuang oo, Zeng oo, Gu oo, Cai oo, Yu oo.	The company's recorded bank deposit balance had significant discrepancies with the bank statement balance, and its senior executives are suspected of abusing their authority to engage in transactions detrimental to shareholders' interests, violating Article 20, Paragraph 2 of the Securities and Exchange Act, subject to criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1 of the same Act	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taichung District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2014-Zhen Zi-15384	Taiwan High Court Taichung Branch Court Criminal Judgment No. 2018-Jin Shang Su Zi-1003, dated May 26, 2020 Judgment Document Link
33	AnnCare Bio-Tech Center Co., Ltd. Suspect: Lin	The suspect allegedly engaged in multiple irregular purchase and sales transactions, and the company was suspected to have close substantial relationships with its trading	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taichung District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2013-Zhen Zi-13974, dated October 3, 2013	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Tai Shang Zi-4947, dated August 28, 2024 Judgment Document Link

35	9 suspects involved including Asia • Corporation	to criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 2 of the Securities and Exchange Act and Article 342 of the Criminal Code. Also allegedly violated Articles 178 and 206 of the Company Act Suspected of insider trading of MacroWell OMG Digital Entertainment	Insider Trading	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2016- Zhen Zi-19009	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Tai Shang Zi-3560, dated
		Co., Ltd. shares, in violation of Article 157-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act			October 23, 2024 Judgment Document Link
36	2 suspects involved including Zhang oo	Suspected of manipulating the stock price of Ling Yueh Software Co., Ltd. shares, in violation of Article 155, Paragraph 2 as applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Taichung District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2014-Zhen Zi-27326, dated December 19, 2016	Taiwan High Court Taichung Branch Court Criminal Judgment No. 2018-Jin Shang Su Zi-1954, dated October 29, 2020 Judgment Document Link
37	Metaltech	Made	False	Taiwan Changhua	Supreme Court

	Industrial Co	unfavorable	Financial	District Prosecutors	Criminal
	Industrial Co.,	transactions for			
	Ltd.		Reports	Office Prosecution No.	Judgment No.
	Suspect: Lu	Metaltech		2014-Zhen Zi-3286,	2021-Tai Shang
	00	Industrial Co.,		4740, and 5601, dated	Zi-6241, dated
		Ltd. that		June 11, 2014	December 30,
		deviated from			2021
		normal business			Judgment
		practices,			Document Link
		causing			
		significant			
		damage to the			
		company, and			
		made false			
		statements or			
		omissions in			
		financial reports			
		and business			
		documents			
		required to be			
		filed or			
		announced			
		under the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act,			
		thereby			
		incurring			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		1-3 and Article			
		174, Paragraph			
		2, Subparagraph			
		2 of the same			
		act.			
38	Flavor Full	Made false	Others	Taiwan New Taipei	Supreme Court
	Foods Inc.	statements or		District Prosecutors	Criminal
	Suspect:	omissions in		Office Prosecution No.	Judgment No.
	Former	financial and		2014-Zhen Zi-22460,	2024-Tai Shang
	Chairman	business		dated August 20, 2014	Zi-4709, dated
	Chen oo	documents			January 16,
		required to be			2025
		filed or			Judgment

		announced by the company, thereby incurring criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 1 of the Securities and Exchange Act			Document Link
39	NeoCore Technology Co., Ltd. Suspects: Yang oo, Wang oo, Yang oo	Suspected false purchase and sales transactions and false financial statements in violation of Article 20, Paragraph 2 of the Securities and Exchange Act, thereby incurring criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1 of the same Act	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taichung District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2016-Zhen Zi-19137 and No. 2017-Zhen Zi- 1803, dated September 30, 2017	Taiwan High Court Taichung Branch Court Criminal Judgment No. 2019-Jin Shang Su Zi-2185, dated February 20, 2020 Judgment Document Link
40	7 suspects involved including Zhuo oo	Suspected of manipulating the stock price of Golden Rain Enterprise Corp. in violation of Article 155, Paragraph 2 as applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Taichung District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2018-Zhen Zi-155, dated March 9, 2018	Taiwan High Court Taichung Branch Court Criminal Judgment No. 2020-Jin Shang Su Zi-619, dated June 24, 2020 Judgment Document Link

		and Exchange			
		Act			
41	Hundred Plus Corporation Suspect: Hsu	_	Breach of Trust	Taiwan New Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2015-Zhen Zi-24237	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2019-Jin Shang Geng Er Zi-5, dated February 18, 2020 Judgment Document Link
		•			
42	9 suspects	Accounting Act Suspected of	Stock Price	Taiwan Taichung	Supreme Court
	involved	manipulating the stock price	Manipulation	District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No.	Criminal
	including Wu	of Liton		2020-Zhen Zi-5555,	Judgment No. 2023-Tai Shang
	· -	Technology		dated July 23, 2020	Zi-1945, dated
		Corporation, in			October 25,
		violation of			2023
		Article 155,			Judgment
		Paragraph 2 as			Document Link

		applied mutatis			
		mutandis to			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		4 and 5 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act			
43	4 suspects	Suspected of	Stock Price	Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan Taipei
	involved	manipulating	Manipulation	Prosecutors Office	District Court
	including Lin	the stock price		Prosecution No. 2022-	Criminal
	00	of Welldone		Zhen Zi-544 and 18700,	Judgment No.
		Co., Ltd., in		dated June 26, 2022	2022-Jin Su Zi-
		violation of			36, dated
		Article 155,			January 5, 2024
		Paragraph 2 as			Judgment
		applied mutatis			Document Link
		mutandis to			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		4 and 5 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act			
44	4 suspects	Suspected of	Stock Price	Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan High
	involved	manipulating	Manipulation	Prosecutors Office	Court Criminal
	including Hsu	the stock price		Prosecution No. 2017-	Judgment No.
	00	of Hotel Royal		Zhen Zi-16454	2022-Jin Shang
		Chihpen Co.,			Su Zi-10, dated
		Ltd., in			May 25, 2023
		violation of			Judgment
		Article 155,			Document Link
					Document Link
		Paragraph 2 as			Document Link
		applied mutatis			Document Link
		applied mutatis mutandis to			Document Link
		applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1,			Document Link
		applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4			Document Link
		applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities			Document Link
		applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange			Document Link
		applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act			
45	Sai De	applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act Mr. Tseng	Breach of	Taiwan Shilin District	Taiwan High
45	Pharmaceutica	applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act Mr. Tseng allegedly	Breach of Trust	Prosecutors Office	Taiwan High Court Criminal
45	Pharmaceutica 1 Technology	applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act Mr. Tseng allegedly breached his		Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2015-	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No.
45	Pharmaceutica l Technology Co., Ltd.	applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act Mr. Tseng allegedly breached his duties by		Prosecutors Office	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2020-Shang Yi
45	Pharmaceutica 1 Technology	applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act Mr. Tseng allegedly breached his		Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2015-	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No.

company funds to major	2020 Judgment
shareholders,	Document Link
signing	Document Link
personal loan	
agreements with others to	
lend company	
funds to	
individuals,	
engaging in	
irregular	
transactions	
involving	
significant	
advance	
payments for	
purchases, and	
conducting	
unusual private	
placements and	
equity transfer	
investments.	
Mr. Tseng is	
thus suspected	
of embezzling	
and	
misappropriatin	
g company	
funds through	
irregular	
transactions,	
causing damage	
to the company,	
in violation of	
Article 171,	
Paragraph 1,	
Subparagraphs	
2 and 3 of the	
Securities and	
Exchange Act	
and Article 336,	
Paragraph 2 of	
the Criminal	
Code	

46	Mayer Steel	Through Mayer	Breach of	Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan High
	Pipe	BVI's 100%	Trust	Prosecutors Office	Court Criminal
	Corporation	ownership of	11000	Prosecution No. 2016-	Judgment No.
	Suspect: Lai	Hong Kong		Zhen Zi-7922, dated	2023-Jin Shang
	00	Mayer Holdings		March 19, 2021	Zhong Su Zi-
		Limited shares,		171011 17, 2021	14, dated July
		Lai oo, the sole			4, 2023
		director of			Judgment
		Mayer BVI,			Document Link
		allegedly			Document Link
		misappropriated and sold the			
		shares without			
		proper			
		authorization,			
		resulting in			
		neither Mayer			
		Corporation nor			
		Mayer BVI's			
		subsidiaries			
		receiving the			
		proceeds from			
		the share sale,			
		causing			
		property			
		damage through			
		loss of			
		investment			
		shares, in			
		violation of			
		Article 342 of			
		the Criminal			
		Code	·		
47	12 suspects	Suspected of	Stock Price	Taiwan Taoyuan	Supreme Court
	involved	manipulating	Manipulation	District Prosecutors	Criminal
	including	the stock price		Office Prosecution No.	Judgment No.
	Chiang oo	of UnionPlus		2016-Zhen Zi-12085,	2020-Tai Shang
		Co., Ltd., in		dated April 20, 2017	Zi-1274, dated
		violation of			March 25, 2021
		Article 155,			Judgment
		Paragraph 2 as			Document Link
		applied mutatis			
		mutandis to			
		Paragraph 1,			

		Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act			
48	4 suspects involved including Chen oo	Suspected of manipulating the stock price of YCC Manufacturing Co., Ltd., in violation of Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Taoyuan District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2017-Zhen Zi-24978 and No. 2018-Zhen Zi- 22814, dated May 10, 2019	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Shang Su Zi-59, dated November 26, 2024 Judgment Document Link
49	Acard Technology Corp. Suspect: Liu	Acard Technology Corp. was suspected of making false statements in its financial and business documents, related books and statements for public announcement and reporting, and its chairman Liu oo engaged in unfavorable transactions that were inconsistent with regular business practices, in violation of Article 20, Paragraph 2 of	False Financial Reports	Taiwan New Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2019-Zhen Zi-16306, dated November 27, 2020	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Shang Su Zi-28, dated February 14, 2023 Judgment Document Link

50	Hold Jinn Electronics Co., Ltd. Suspects: Gong oo, Chen oo, Tseng oo, Huang oo	the Securities and Exchange Act, subject to criminal liability under Article 174, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 5 and Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 2 of the same Act Suspected of asset tunneling and inflating revenue, subject to criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 2 of the Securities and Exchange Act and Article 71, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 1 of the Business Entity	Non-arm's length Transactions, False Financial Reports	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2019- Zhen Zi-28598, dated December 8, 2022	Taiwan Taipei District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2023-Jin Su Zi- 10, under trial
51	3 suspects involved including Zhang 00	Accounting Act Suspected of manipulating the stock price of EFUN Technology Co., Ltd., in violation of Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Tainan District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2020- Zhen Zi-3393	Taiwan Tainan District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2021-Jin Su Zi- 186, dated November 5, 2021 Judgment Document Link
52	22 suspects involved	Suspected of manipulating	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office	Taiwan High Court Criminal

	including Chen oo	the stock price of Pihsiang Machinery Manufacturing Co., Ltd., in violation of Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 and 5 of the Securities and Exchange Act		Prosecution No. 2017- Zhen Zi-13700	Judgment No. 2022-Jin Shang Zhong Geng Yi Zi-12, dated September 18, 2024 Judgment Document Link
53	Solar Applied Materials Technology Corp. Suspects: Chen 00, Chen 00, Chen 00, Xu	Illegal transfer of gold and false financial statements, in violation of Article 20, Paragraph 2 of the Securities and Exchange Act, subject to criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1 of the same Act	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Tainan District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2020- Tiao Zhen Zi-112, dated August 23, 2021	Taiwan High Court Tainan Branch Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Shang Su Zi-1324, dated August 14, 2024 Judgment Document Link
54	9 suspects involved including Zhang 00	Suspected involvement in insider trading of Inotera Memories Inc. stock, in violation of Article 157-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Insider Trading	Taiwan Taoyuan District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2018-Zhen Zi-1904	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2024-Tai Fei Zi-7, dated February 21, 2024 Judgment Document Link
55	4 suspects involved including Zhuang oo	Suspected insider trading of Giantplus Technology Co., Ltd. stock, in violation of	Insider Trading	Taiwan Miaoli District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2022- Zhen Zi-296	Taiwan Miaoli District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Su Zi- 202, dated

		Article 157-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act			January 18, 2024 Judgment Document Link
56	ThinTech Materials Technology Co., Ltd. and its subsidiary Xinlian Metal Resources (Taicang) Co., Ltd. Suspects: Jian oo, Su oo	Mr. Jian (former director of ThinTech Materials Technology Co. and former responsible person of Giga United) and Mr. Su (director of Giga United) intended to benefit themselves or third parties by breaching their fiduciary duties, resulting in criminal liabilities under Article 342 of the Criminal Code and Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 2 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Ciaotou District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2020- Zhen Zi-3364	Taiwan Ciaotou District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2021-Jin Su Zi- 70, dated May 24, 2022 Judgment Document Link
57	Phison Electronics Corp. Suspect: Pan	Made false statements or concealed information in related party transaction financial reports, violating Article 20, Paragraph 2 of the Securities	False Financial Reports	Taiwan Hsinchu District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2017- Zhen Xu Zi-157 and 158	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Shang Su Zi-11, dated August 10, 2022 Judgment Document Link

		and Exchange Act, resulting in criminal liabilities under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 1 of the Securities and Exchange Act			
58	9 suspects involved including Wu	Suspected of manipulating the stock price of P-TWO Industries Inc., violating Article 155, Paragraph 2 as applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Hsinchu District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2017- Zhen Zi-8159	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2024-Tai Shang Zi-737, dated July 10, 2024 Judgment Document Link
59	XPEC Entertainment INC. Suspects: Xu oo and others	The funds used by Baichi Gantou Company to participate in XPEC Entertainment's private placement were remitted from Hong Kong by Lin oo (who was linked to irregular accounts involved in XPEC Entertainment's CB breakdown	Others	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2016- Zhen Zi-24416	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2023-Tai Shang Zi-1087, dated September 20, 2023 Judgment Document Link

		and conversion), which was deemed to be anomalous and in violation Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 3 of the Securities and Exchange Act			
60	Transasia Airways Corporation Suspects: Lin OO, Liu OO, Yang OO	TransAsia Airways signed a trust agreement on November 17, 2016 regarding arrangements for consumers and employees following its suspension of operations, and deposited post- dated checks worth NT\$1.2 billion into a trust account. However, on November 21, 2016, the company still publicly denied media reports about its suspension of operations as material information, and prepared its Q3 2016 financial report under the going	False Financial Reports	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2017- Zhen Zi-11384 and 11654	Supreme Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Tai Shang Zi-2957, dated October 5, 2022 Judgment Document Link

		concern			
		assumption.			
		The company's			
		filed or			
		announced			
		financial reports			
		and business			
		documents were			
		found to			
		contain false or			
		concealed			
		information,			
		· ·			
		violating Article			
		20, Paragraph 2 of the Securities			
		and Exchange			
		Act, subject to			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph 1			
<i>C</i> 1	TT ': 1D 1' :	of the same Act.	T 1	m · m · 1	G G .
61	United Radiant	Conducted	False	Taiwan Taichung	Supreme Court
	Technology	fictitious	Financial	District Prosecutors	Criminal
	Corporation	transactions	Reports	Office Prosecution No.	Judgment No.
	Suspect: Han	involving only		2018-Zhen Zi-28954,	2024-Tai Shang
	00	financial flows		dated August 23, 2019	Zi-967, dated
		without actual			March 26, 2025
		goods flows.			Judgment
		The financial			Document Link
		reports for the			
		relevant periods			
		in 2014 were			
		found to			
		contain false			
		information.			
		The company's			
		responsible			
		person			
		allegedly			
		violated Article			
		20, Paragraph 2			
		of the Securities			

		and Exchange			
		Act, subject to			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph 1			
		of the same Act.			
62	Jenn Feng	Allegedly sold	Breach of	Taiwan Taoyuan	Taiwan
02	New Energy	assets in	Trust	District Prosecutors	Taoyuan
	Co., Ltd.	transactions that	11000	Office Prosecution No.	District Court
	Suspect:	deviated from		2021-Zhen Zi-44098,	Criminal
	Chung oo	normal business		dated May 13, 2022	Judgment No.
	Chang o	practices,		dated 141dy 15, 2022	2022-Su Zi-
		subject to			1488, dated
		criminal			April 18, 2024
		liability under			Judgment
		Article 171,			Document Link
		Paragraph 1,			Document Link
		Subparagraph 2			
		of the Securities			
		and Exchange			
		Act.			
		Additionally,			
		the related			
		transaction			
		amounts were			
		allegedly			
		deposited into			
		others'			
		accounts,			
		subject to criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph 3			
		of the Securities			
(2	2	Exchange Act.	C41- D '	Trimer Trie 1 Division	T-: T :
63	3 suspects	Suspected of	Stock Price	Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan Taipei
	involved	manipulating	Manipulation	Prosecutors Office	District Court
	including Tai	the stock price		Prosecution No. 2019-	Criminal
	00	of Chong Hong		Zhen Zi-9347, dated	Judgment No.
		Construction		June 28, 2019	2019-Jin Su Zi-

		Co., Ltd. in violation of Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 4 and 5 of the Securities and Exchange Act.			40, dated February 25, 2020 Judgment Document Link
64	3 suspects involved including Tai	Suspected of manipulating the stock price of Global View Co. Ltd. in violation of Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 4 and 5 of the Securities and Exchange Act.	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2019- Zhen Zi-9347, dated June 28, 2019	Taiwan Taipei District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2019-Jin Su Zi- 40, dated February 25, 2020 Judgment Document Link
65	EST Global Apparel Co., Ltd Suspect: Lin oo	Suspected of inflating company revenue in violation of Article 20, Paragraph 2 of the Securities and Exchange Act, subject to criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 1 of the same Act.	False Financial Reports	Taiwan New Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2023-Zhen Zi-20497	Taiwan New Taipei District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2023-Jin Su Zi- 543, dated April 23, 2025 Judgment Document Link
66	Kingland Property Co., Ltd. Suspect: Zhu	Suspected of making suspicious purchases of Taitrans Technology Co., Ltd. stocks through its	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taichung District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2019-Zhen Zi-30487, dated January 9, 2021	Supreme Court Criminal Judgement No. 2023-Tai Shang Zi-5324, dated December 11, 2024 Judgment

		subsidiary Cai			Document Link
		subsidiary Cai Yue Investment Co., Ltd. with abnormalities in the justification and pricing of transactions, subject to criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 2 and 3 of the Securities and Exchange Act.			Document Link
67	9 suspects involved including Xie	Suspected of insider trading involving stocks of Neo Solar Power Corporation, Gintech Energy Corporation, and Solartech Energy Corporation, in violation of Article 157-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act.	Insider Trading	Taiwan Hsinchu District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2022- Zhen Zi-9789, dated July 29, 2022	Taiwan Hsinchu District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Su Zi- 470, dated December 14, 2022 Judgment Document Link
68	Jump Media International Co., Ltd. Suspects: Wang oo, Chen oo, Tsai oo, Wen oo	Jump Media International Co., Ltd.'s subsidiary RiseCreatives Co., Ltd. is suspected of paying deposits to Champion Shine Ltd., an unauthorized party for	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2023- Zhen Zi-37996 and 45677 and No. 2024- Zhen Zi-1747, dated January 24, 2024	Taiwan Taipei District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2024-Jin Su Zi- 8, dated May 26, 2025 Judgment Document Link

		,		I	
		portrait rights,			
		involving			
		irregular			
		transactions and			
		false			
		recognition of			
		operating			
		income.			
		Additionally,			
		the company's			
		transactions			
		with Jia Huang			
		(Shanghai)			
		Food &			
		Beverage			
		Management			
		Co., Ltd. were			
		not in			
		accordance with			
		normal business			
		practices,			
		potentially			
		constituting			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		1, 2, and 3 of			
		the Securities			
		and Exchange			
		Act.	—	m. a :	
69	Chaheng	The chairman	False	Taiwan Ciaotou District	Taiwan Ciaotou
	Precision Co.,	transferred	Financial	Prosecutors Office	District Court
	Ltd.	personal funds	Reports	Prosecution No. 2023-	Criminal
	Suspects: Chi	to the company		Zhen Zi-10058 and	Judgment No.
	oo (Person in	to offset		23273, dated May 5,	2024-Jin Zhong
	Charge), Yuan	accounts		2024	Su Zi-1, dated
	00	receivable and			April 25, 2025
	(Accounting	processing fees			Judgment
	Manager)	payable, and			Document Link
		multiple			
		passbook			
		records were			

		, 111			
		not recorded in			
		the books,			
		resulting in			
		alleged			
		misrepresentati			
		on in the			
		financial report			
		for Q2 2017,			
		potentially			
		constituting			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		1 and 6 of the			
		Securities and			
70	G1 1	Exchange Act.	D 1 C	T. T. D.	m · m · ·
70	Shenghua	1. Many of	Breach of	Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan Taipei
	Entertainment	Shenghua	Trust	Prosecutors Office,	District Court
	Communicatio	Entertainmen		Prosecution No. 2019-	Case No. 2021-
	n Co., Ltd.	t's suppliers		Jun Zhen Zi-48, dated	Jin Zhong Su
	Suspects: Yu	and		November 30, 2020	Zi-1, under trial
	00, Fang 00,	customers			
	Chang $\circ \circ$,	only traded			
	Shang oo,	with			
	Hsieh ○○, Yu	Shenghua			
	00	Entertainmen			
		t. These			
		related			
		transactions			
		were			
		suspected to			
		be arranged			
		by Shenghua			
		Entertainmen			
		t to inflate its			
		book			
		revenue,			
		violating			
		Article 20,			
		Paragraph 2			
		of the			
		Securities			

and
Exchange
Act,
potentially
constituting
criminal
liability
under Article
171,
Paragraph 1,
Subparagraph
1 of the same
Act.
2. Furthermore,
there were
concerns
about the
necessity and
reasonablene
ss of the
transactions,
potentially
constituting
criminal
liability
under Article
171,
Paragraph 1,
Subparagraph
2 of the
Securities
and
Exchange
Act.
5. The real
estate
properties
purchased by
Taiwan Ostor
Corp. were
identical to
some of the
properties
previously
purchased by

Shenghua Entertainmen t in 2018. Based on Shenghua Entertainmen t's transaction prices; Taiwan Ostor Corp. was suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment date,			
t in 2018. Based on Shenghua Entertainmen t's transaction prices, Taiwan Ostor Corp. was suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	Shenghua		
Based on Shenghua Entertainmen t's transaction prices, Taiwan Ostor Corp. was suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
Shenghua Entertainmen t's transaction prices, Taiwan Ostor Corp. was suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
Entertainmen t's transaction prices, Taiwan Ostor Corp. was suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	Based on		
t's transaction prices, Taiwan Ostor Corp. was suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	Shenghua		
prices, Taiwan Ostor Corp. was suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	Entertainmen		
Taiwan Ostor Corp. was suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	t's transaction		
Taiwan Ostor Corp. was suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	prices,		
suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
suspected of overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	Corp. was		
overvaluing asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
asset values and concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
concealing related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	and		
related-party transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	concealing		
transactions to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	_		
to misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
misappropria te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
te company funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	misappropria		
funds, which was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
was inconsistent with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
with normal business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
business practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	inconsistent		
practices. 4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	with normal		
4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	business		
4. Furthermore, the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	practices.		
the company immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
immediately conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	the company		
conducted private placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	conducted		
placement payments to avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	private		
avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
avoid delisting due to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	payments to		
to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
to negative net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	delisting due		
net worth, and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	to negative		
and the payment date was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment			
was close to the aforemention ed deposit payment	payment date		
aforemention ed deposit payment			
ed deposit payment	the		
payment	aforemention		
	ed deposit		
date,	payment		
	date,		

		potentially			
		constituting			
		criminal			
		liability			
		under Article			
		171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph			
		2 of the			
		Securities			
		and			
		Exchange			
		Act.			
71	AC&C	AC&C	Breach of	Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan Taipei
	International	International	Trust	Prosecutors Office,	District Court
	Co., Ltd.	Co., Ltd. and its		Prosecution No. 2019-	Case No. 2021-
	Suspects:	subsidiary, You		Zhen Zi-16316, dated	Jin Zhong Su
	Chao ○, Wang	Chun Venture		November 30, 2020	Zi-1, under trial
	00, Lin 00,	Capital Co.,			
	and others	Ltd., were			
		suspected of			
		misappropriatin			
		g company			
		funds and			
		benefiting			
		specific			
		individuals			
		through			
		purchasing			
		listed company			
		stocks, making			
		investments,			
		buying real			
		estate, and			
		leasing offices,			
		resulting in			
		massive			
		company losses			
		and damaging			
		shareholders'			
		interests,			
		potentially			
		constituting			
		criminal			
		CHIIIIIIai			

		1:.1:1:41			
72	4 suspects involved including Tsai	liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 2 and 3 of the Securities and Exchange Act. Suspected of manipulating the stock price	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Chiayi District Prosecutors Office, Prosecution No. 2022-	Taiwan Chiayi District Court Criminal
	oo	of Globe Industries Corporation in violation of Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 4 and 5 of the Securities and Exchange Act		Zhen Zi-12329	Judgment No. 2023-Jin Su Zi- 440, dated November 18, 2024 Judgment Document Link
73	3 suspects involved including Ting	Suspected of manipulating the stock price of Falcon Power Co., Ltd. in violation of Article 155, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4 of the Securities and Exchange Act.	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Shilin District Prosecutors Office, Prosecution No. 2022- Zhen Zi-18071 and 15838	Taiwan Shilin District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Su Zi- 497, dated March 28, 2023 Judgment Document Link
74	Roo Hsing Co., Ltd. and Fubon Securities Co., Ltd. Suspects: Chen OO, Sun O, Fan OO	1. In relation to Roo Hsing Co., Ltd.'s cash capital increase report for acquiring JD United Group, there were alleged false statements,	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office, Prosecution No. 2019- Zhen Zi-21101, dated August 13, 2021	Taiwan Taipei District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2021-Jin Zhong Su Zi-29, dated February 12, 2025 Judgment Document Link

	concealments		
	and		
S	subsequent		
1	related		
t	ransactions		
t	that were		
	nconsistent		
	with regular		
	ousiness		
	oractices, in		
	violation of		
	Article 20,		
	Paragraphs 1		
	and 2 of the		
	Securities		
8	and		
	Exchange		
	Act,		
1	ootentially		
	ncurring		
	criminal		
	iabilities		
	under Article		
	171,		
	Paragraph 1,		
	Subparagrap		
	ns 1 to 3 of		
	the same Act.		
	Independent		
	expert CPA		
	Mr. Fan and		
	the securities		
	underwriter		
	Fubon		
	Securities		
	failed to		
	fulfill their		
	professional		
	obligations,		
1	ootentially		
	ncurring		
	criminal		
	iabilities		
	under Article		
	174,		
 l l	,	20	l

		Paragraph 2,			
		Subparagrap h 2 and			
		Article 174,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagrap			
		hs 4 and 5 of			
		the Securities			
		and			
		Exchange			
75	CGS	Act.	Breach of	Taivvan Tainai District	Tairran Tainai
/3	International	1. In 2018, CGS	Trust	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office	Taiwan Taipei District Court
		International	Trust	Prosecution No. 2023-	Case No. 2024-
	Inc., TOP High				
	Image Corp.	Inc. signed		Zhen Zi-43307, and	Jin Zhong Su
		_			•
		_			uriai
	-	_		dated reordary 29, 2024	
	-				
	wang 00	•			
		- •			
		_			
		-			
		_			
		_			
	Suspects: Teng oo, Chou oo, Chen oo, Chen oo, Wang oo	game licensing and development contracts with Joy Brick Inc. and FunZone Games Ltd. respectively. The transaction arrangements and prepayment processes were contrary to normal business practices, raising suspicions of asset tunneling from CGS International Inc., potentially incurring		Prosecution No. 2024- Zhen Zi-7571 and 7572, dated February 29, 2024	Zi-12, under trial

ı	1	
criminal		
liabilities		
under Article		
171,		
Paragraph 1,		
Subparagrap		
h 2 of the		
Securities		
and		
Exchange		
Act.		
Furthermore,		
CGS		
International		
Inc. failed to		
provide		
supporting		
documents		
proving Joy		
Brick Inc.'s		
ownership of		
the game		
copyright. If		
such		
copyright		
was not		
owned by		
Joy Brick		
Inc., this may		
constitute a		
fraudulent		
transaction,		
potentially		
incurring		
criminal		
liabilities		
under Article		
171,		
Paragraph 1,		
Subparagrap		
h 1 of the		
same Act.		
2. Concerns		
exist		
regarding		

Top High
Image
Corp.'s
investment
evaluation of
the strategic
alliance
contract with
CGS
International
Inc., and
there are
suspicions
that the
licensing
agreement
was used to
disguise loan transactions.
If CGS
International
Inc. fails to
make
payments
according to
the
agreement in
the future,
causing
significant
damage to
the company,
this would
potentially
incur
criminal
liabilities
under Article
171,
Paragraph 1,
Subparagrap
hs 2 and 3 of
the Securities
and
Exchange
Exchange

		Act.			
76	28 suspects involved including Teng	Suspected of stock price manipulation and insider trading of CGS International Inc. shares, potentially violating Article 155, Paragraph 2 as applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 4, and Article 157-1, Paragraph 1 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Stock Price Manipulation and Insider Trading	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2023- Zhen Zi-43307, and Prosecution No. 2024- Zhen Zi-7571 and 7572, dated February 29, 2024	Taiwan Taipei District Court Case No. 2024- Jin Zhong Su Zi-12, under trial
77	Cheng Mei Materials Technology Corp. Suspects: Yeh oo and others	Yeh oo, Vice Chairman of Cheng Mei Materials Technology Corp. who also serves as Chairman of subsidiaries Mawfong Precision Industrial Co., Ltd. and Mao Yu Company, is suspected of conducting irregular transactions through subsidiaries, potentially incurring criminal	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2020- Zhen Zi-12532, dated March 29, 2021	Taiwan Taipei District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2021-Jin Zhong Su Zi-17, dated April 1, 2021 (According to the Judicial Yuan website, this case has been programmatical ly determined to be one that either cannot be disclosed to the public or must be de-identified before public disclosure) Judgment Document Link

		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		2 and 3 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act			
78	Chymalayya		False	Taiyyan Tainai Distriat	Tairran High
/8	Chunghwa	Chunghwa		Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan High
	Picture Tubes,	Picture Tubes,	Financial	Prosecutors Office	Court Criminal
	Ltd., Tatung	Ltd. and Tatung	Reports	Prosecution No. 2020-	Judgment No.
	Co.	Co. deliberately		Zhen Zi-9801, dated	2022-Jin Shang
	Suspects: Lin	concealed		August 19, 2020	Su Zi-22, dated
	00, Lin 000	material			December 28,
	and others	commitments in			2022
		their financial			Judgment
		reports for the			Document Link
		relevant period,			
		violating Article			
		20, Paragraph 2			
		of the Securities			
		and Exchange			
		Act, thus			
		incurring			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraph 1			
		of the same Act.			
79	Oceanic	The Company	Breach of	Taiwan New Taipei	Supreme Court
19	Beverages Co.		Trust	District Prosecutors	Criminal
	•	acquired 3 real	Hust		
	Suspect: Sun	estate properties		Office Prosecution No.	Judgment No.
	00	from related		2019-Zhen Zi-11114,	2022-Tai Shang
		parties Cathay		13328 and 24265, dated	Zi-3703, dated
		Beverage Co.,		August 8, 2019	March 27, 2024
		Ltd., and Hsu			Judgment
		Sun Company,			Document Link
		and provided			
		loans to Cathay			
		Beverage Co.,			
		Ltd., which			
		appeared to			
		deviate from			
		normal business			

		nnosticas and			
		practices, and			
		are thereby			
		subject to			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		2 and 3 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act.			
80	Wisbet	Chairman Wu,	False	Taiwan New Taipei	Taiwan High
	International	Manager Wang,	Financial	District Prosecutors	Court Criminal
	Co., Ltd.	and Chief	Reports	Office Prosecution No.	Judgment No.
	Suspects: Wu	Accountant		2020-Zhen Zi-7560,	2022-Jin Shang
	$\circ \circ$, Wang $\circ \circ$,	Shih allegedly		dated October 5, 2020	Su Zi-60, dated
	Shih oo	engaged in			May 27, 2025
		irregular			Judgment
		transactions in			Document Link
		2018, causing			
		the company to			
		enter into			
		disadvantageou			
		s transactions,			
		and made false			
		statements or			
		omissions in			
		financial reports			
		and business			
		documents			
		required to be			
		filed or			
		announced			
		under the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act,			
		thus incurring			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		1 to 3 of the			
		Securities and			
		Securines and			

		Exchange Act.			
81	Reliance Securities Co. Suspects: Former Chairman Lin oo and Former Director Wu oo	Suspected of causing the company to engage in disadvantageou s transactions and engaging in acts in breach of duties, resulting in damage to the company, thus incurring criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 2 and 3 of the Securities and	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2021- Zhen Zi-8348 and 19113, dated July 31, 2022	Taiwan Taipei District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Zhong Su Zi-30, dated September 26, 2024 Judgment Document Link
82	12 suspects involved including Wu	Exchange Act Suspected of manipulating the stock price of P-TWO Industries Inc., violating Article 155, Paragraph 2 as applied mutatis mutandis to Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 4 and 5 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Stock Price Manipulation	Taiwan Taichung District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2020-Zhen Zi-29474, and 36756, dated January 17, 2022	Taiwan Taichung District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Zhong Su Zi-520, dated June 6, 2024 Judgment Document Link
83	Entery Industrial Co., Ltd. Suspects: Lin oo and Lin oo.	Suspected of engaging in irregular transactions, subject to criminal liability under	Non-arm's length Transactions and False Financial Reports	Taiwan New Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2019-Zhen Zi-33022, dated February 3, 2020	Taiwan High Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Shang Su Zi-60, dated May 27, 2025 Judgment

		Article 171,			Document Link
		Paragraph 1,			Dodanichi Link
		Subparagraphs			
		1 to 3 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act			
84	E	On August 12,	Breach of	Taiyyan Taiahyuna	Taiyyan High
84	Formosa	,		Taiwan Taichung	Taiwan High
	Oilseed	August 14, and	Trust	District Prosecutors	Court Taichung
	Processing	November 21,		Office Prosecution No.	Branch Court
	Co., Ltd.	2019, purchased		2020-Zhen Zi-19258	Criminal
	Suspect: Wu	Shin Tai		and 22251, dated	Judgment No.
	00	Industry		October 12, 2020	2022-Jin Shang
		Co.,Ltd. stocks			Su Zi-2162,
		through after-			dated January
		hours block			21, 2025
		trading on a			Judgment
		per-transaction			Document Link
		basis, and the			
		trading			
		counterparties			
		were likely			
		company			
		related parties.			
		These actions			
		are suspected of			
		violating Article			
		171, Paragraph			
		1 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act.			
85	39 suspects	Suspected of	Insider	Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan Taipei
	involved	insider trading	Trading	Prosecutors Office	District Court
	including	in Goldsun	11001115	Prosecution No. 2022-	Criminal
	Chang oo	Building		Zhen Zi-5423 and	Judgment No.
		Materials Co.,		28012	2023-Jin Su Zi-
		Ltd. stocks, in		20012	20, dated May
		violation of			27, 2025
		Article 157-1 of			Judgment
		the Securities			Document Link
					Document Link
		and Exchange			
07	11 ayaa aata	Act Sugmented of	Tan and all a	Taiwan Vaalaissa	Toisser
86	11 suspects	Suspected of	Insider	Taiwan Kaohsiung	Taiwan
	involved	insider trading	Trading	District Prosecutors	Kaohsiung
	including	in Kuo Toong		Office Prosecution No.	District Court

87	Hung oo	International Co., Ltd. stocks, in violation of Article 157-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Breach of	2021-Zhen Zi-21779, and 25538, dated March 25, 2022	Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Su Zi- 188, dated January 29, 2024 Judgment Document Link
87	Enterex International Limited (Enterex-KY) Suspect: Former Chairman and Former General Manager Weng OO	Suspected of misappropriatin g subsidiaries' accounts receivable and selling subsidiary shares with debt waiver clauses that may harm shareholders' interests, subject to criminal liability under Article 171, Paragraph 1, Subparagraphs 2 and 3, Paragraph 9, and Article 174, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 8 and Paragraph 6 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Trust	Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2021- Zhen Zi-26814, Prosecution No. 2022- Zhen Zi-8187 and 30747, and Prosecution No. 2023-Zhen Zi- 4998, dated June 9, 2023	Taiwan High Court Tainan Branch Court Criminal Judgment No. 2024-Jin Shang Su Zi-1030, dated January 7, 2025 Judgment Document Link
88	4 suspects involved including Weng oo	Suspected of insider trading in Enterex International Limited stocks, violating Article 157-1, Paragraph 1 of the Securities	Insider Trading	Taiwan Tainan District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2022- Zhen Zi-30747, dated June 9, 2023	Taiwan High Court Tainan Branch Court Criminal Judgment No. 2024-Jin Shang Su Zi-1030, dated January 7, 2025

		and Exchange			Judgment
		Act			Document Link
89	11 suspects involved including Lin	Suspected of insider trading in Keysheen (Cayman) Holdings Co., Limited stocks, violating Article 157-1, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph 5 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Insider Trading	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2022- Zhen Zi-21225, dated August 10, 2022	Taiwan Taipei District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2022-Jin Su Zi- 41, dated December 23, 2022 Judgment Document Link
90	2 suspects involved including Chen oo	Suspected of insider trading in Senhwa Biosciences, Inc. stocks, violating Article 157-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act	Insider Trading	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2022- Zhen Zi-34101, dated May 20, 2023	Taiwan Taipei District Court Criminal Judgment No. 2023-Jin Su Zi- 29, dated November 20, 2023 Judgment Document Link
91	Lung Ming Green Energy Technology Engineering Co., Ltd. Suspect: Hsu	Suspected of investing in a Cambodian lottery business and developing a waste wafer business, where investment decisions, implementation s, and procurement transactions clearly deviated from normal business practices. The relevant decision-makers	Breach of Trust	Taiwan Taipei District Prosecutors Office Prosecution No. 2022- Zhen Zi-11048, dated April 11, 2024	Taiwan Taipei District Court Case No. 2024- Jin Zhong Su Zi-37, under trial

		and executives			
		are suspected of			
		breach of trust,			
		engaging the			
		company in			
		disadvantageou			
		s transactions			
		that caused			
		significant			
		damage to the			
		company,			
		potentially			
		incurring			
		criminal			
		liability under			
		Article 171,			
		Paragraph 1,			
		Subparagraphs			
		2 and 3 of the			
		Securities and			
		Exchange Act			
92	2 suspects	Suspected of	Insider	Taiwan Taipei District	Taiwan Taipei
	involved	insider trading	Trading	Prosecutors Office	District Court
	including Dan	of Lite-On		Prosecution No. 2021-	Criminal
	00	Semiconductor		Zhen Zi-9929, 21548	Judgment No.
		Corp. stocks,		and 36885	2022-Jin Su Zi-
		violating Article			16, dated June
		157-1 of the			30, 2022
		Securities and			Judgment
		Exchange Act			Document Link